MEDICAL POLICY – 8.01.11
Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization (TACE) to Treat Primary or Metastatic Liver Malignancies
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Introduction

Embolization is a procedure to block blood flow. When the material used to block the blood flow contains chemotherapy agents as well, it is a way to treat liver cancer in some situations. This treatment is usually known as TACE. In this procedure a catheter (a long, thin, hollow tube) is inserted in an artery near the groin. It’s threaded to the tumor’s blood supply. Chemotherapy and tiny particles are then sent directly into the tumor. The particles block off — embolize — the artery feeding the tumor, causing it to shrink. The chemotherapy works to kill the cancer cells. This treatment can be used in the liver because it has two sources of blood: the portal vein and the hepatic artery. The portal vein supplies most of the blood to the liver. The hepatic artery supplies a lesser amount, and tumors that grow in the liver usually get their blood supply from the hepatic artery. As a result, TACE can be used to starve the blood supply of the tumor usually without affecting the blood supply to the rest of the liver. This policy describes when TACE may be considered medically necessary.

Note: The Introduction section is for your general knowledge and is not to be taken as policy coverage criteria. The rest of the policy uses specific words and concepts familiar to medical professionals. It is intended for providers. A provider can be a person, such as a doctor, nurse, psychologist, or dentist. A provider also can be a place where medical care is given, like a hospital, clinic, or lab. This policy informs them about when a service may be covered.
## Policy Coverage Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Medical Necessity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization | **Transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization may be considered medically necessary in the following conditions:**  
  - Hepatocellular cancer that is unresectable but confined to the liver and not associated with portal vein thrombosis and liver function is not characterized as Child-Pugh class C*  
  - As a bridge to transplant in patients with hepatocellular cancer where the intent is to prevent further tumor growth and to maintain a patient’s candidacy for liver transplant, and the following is present:  
    - A single tumor less than 5 cm or no more than 3 tumors each less than 3 cm in size  
    - Absence of extrahepatic disease or vascular invasion  
    - Child-Pugh class A or B*  
  - Treat liver metastasis in symptomatic patients with metastatic neuroendocrine tumor with both of the following:  
    - Symptoms persist despite systemic therapy  
    - Patients are not candidates for surgical resection  
  - To treat liver metastasis in patients with liver-dominant metastatic uveal melanoma  

*Note: See Related Information for Child-Pugh Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Investigational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization | **Transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization is considered investigational in the following conditions:**  
  - As neoadjuvant or adjuvant therapy in hepatocellular cancer that is considered resectable  
  - When used in combination with radiofrequency ablation (RFA) to treat resectable or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Investigational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• To treat unresectable cholangiocarcinoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To treat liver metastases from any other tumors or to treat hepatocellular cancer that does not meet the criteria noted above, including recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• To treat hepatocellular tumors prior to liver transplantation except as noted above</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Documentation Requirements**

The patient’s medical records submitted for review for all conditions should document that medical necessity criteria are met. The record should include the following:

- Office visit notes that contain the relevant history and physical of ANY these situations:
  - Patient has primary liver cancer that cannot be surgically removed, located only in the liver and does not involve clot or narrowing of the portal vein
  - As a short-term treatment for patient with primary liver cancer waiting for a liver transplant, and the following are true
    - A single tumor less than 5 cm or no more than 3 tumors each less than 3 cm in size
    - Absence of extrahepatic disease or vascular invasion
    - Child-Pugh class A or B
  - Patient has tumors from neuroendocrine cancer that have spread to the liver when the tumors can’t be removed surgically and have not responded to other therapy
  - Patient has tumors in the liver that have spread from liver-dominant metastatic uveal melanoma

**Coding**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37243</td>
<td>Vascular embolization or occlusion, inclusive of all radiological supervision and interpretation, intraprocedural roadmapping, and imaging guidance necessary to complete the intervention; for tumors, organ ischemia, or infarction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75894</td>
<td>Transcatheter therapy, embolization, any method, radiological supervision and interpretation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). HCPCS codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by Centers for Medicare Services (CMS).
Related Information

Child-Pugh Classification of Liver Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Points assigned</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascites</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin</td>
<td>&lt; 34 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>&gt; 35 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR</td>
<td>&lt; 1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encophalopathy</td>
<td>Grade 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Grade 0: normal cognition
- Grade 1: euphoria, fluctuation in level of consciousness, slurred/disoriented speech
- Grade 2: drowsiness, inappropriate behavior, loss of sphincteric control
- Grade 3: marked confusion, stupor, incoherent speech
- Grade 4: coma

Class A: 5 – 6 points “well-compensated”
Class B: 7 – 9 points “significant functional impairment”
Class C: 10 – 15 points “decompensated liver function”

Source: http://www.bccancer.bc.ca/books/PublishingImages/Gastrointestinal/Child-Turcotte-Pugh.PNG
Accessed September 2020

Evidence Review

Description

Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) of the liver is a proposed alternative to conventional systemic or intra-arterial chemotherapy and to various nonsurgical ablative techniques, to treat resectable and nonresectable tumors. TACE combines the infusion of chemotherapeutic drugs with particle embolization. Tumor ischemia secondary to the embolization raises the drug concentration compared with infusion alone, extending the
retention of the chemotherapeutic agent and decreasing systemic toxicity. The liver is especially amenable to such an approach, given its distinct lobular anatomy, the existence of two independent blood supplies, and the ability of healthy hepatic tissue to grow and thus compensate for tissue mass lost during chemoembolization.

**Background**

**Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization**

Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is a minimally invasive procedure performed by interventional radiologists who inject highly concentrated doses of chemotherapeutic agents into the tumor tissues and to restrict tumor blood supply. The embolic agent(s) causes ischemia and necrosis of the tumor and slows anticancer drug washout. The most common anticancer drugs used in published TACE studies for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) include doxorubicin (36%), followed by cisplatin (31%), epirubicin (12%), mitoxantrone (8%), and mitomycin C (8%).¹

The TACE procedure requires hospitalization for placement of a hepatic artery catheter and workup to establish eligibility for chemoembolization. Before the procedure, the patency of the portal vein must be demonstrated to ensure an adequate posttreatment hepatic blood supply. With the patient under local anesthesia and mild sedation, a superselective catheter is inserted via the femoral artery and threaded into the hepatic artery. Angiography is then performed to delineate the hepatic vasculature, followed by injection of the embolic chemotherapy mixture. Embolic material varies but may include a viscous collagen agent, polyvinyl alcohol particles, or ethiodized oil. Typically, only one lobe of the liver is treated during a single session, with subsequent embolization procedures scheduled five days to six weeks later. In addition, because the embolized vessel recanalizes, chemoembolization can be repeated as many times as necessary.

**Adverse Events**

TACE of the liver has been associated with potentially life-threatening toxicities and complications, including severe postembolization syndrome, hepatic insufficiency, abscess, or infarction. TACE has been investigated to treat resectable, unresectable, and recurrent hepatocellular carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma, liver metastases, and in the liver transplant setting. Treatment alternatives include resection when possible, other locally ablative techniques (eg, radiofrequency ablation, cryoablation) and chemotherapy administered systemically or by hepatic artery infusion. Hepatic artery infusion involves the continuous infusion of
chemotherapy with an implanted pump, while TACE is administered episodically. Hepatic artery infusion does not involve the use of embolic material.

Summary of Evidence

Unresectable and Resectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma

For individuals who have unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) confined to the liver and not associated with portal vein thrombosis who receive TACE, the evidence includes several randomized controlled trials (RCTs), large observational studies, and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Evidence from one RCT has suggested that survival with TACE is at least as good as with systemic chemotherapy. One systematic review has highlighted possible biases associated with RCTs that compared TACE with no therapy. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have resectable HCC who receive neoadjuvant or adjuvant TACE, the evidence includes several RCTs and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Studies have shown little to no difference in overall survival rates with neoadjuvant TACE compared with surgery alone. A meta-analysis found no significant improvements in survival or recurrence with preoperative TACE for resectable HCC. While both RCTs and the meta-analysis that evaluated TACE as adjuvant therapy to hepatic resection in HCC reported positive results, the quality of individual studies and the methodologic issues related to the meta-analysis preclude certainty when interpreting the results. Well-conducted multicentric trials from the United States or Europe representing relevant populations with adequate randomization procedures, blinded assessments, centralized oversight and publication in peer-reviewed journals are required. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have resectable HCC who receive TACE plus RFA, the evidence includes a single RCT and a systematic review. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The RCT failed to show the superiority in survival benefit with combination TACE plus RFA treatment compared with surgery for HCC lesions 3 cm or smaller. Further, an ad hoc subgroup analysis showed a significant benefit for surgery in recurrence and overall survival in patients with lesions larger than 3 cm. It cannot be determined from this trial whether TACE plus RFA is as effective as surgical resection for these small tumors. The systematic review, which included mostly
retrospective observational studies, did not find a survival benefit with TACE plus RFA over surgery alone. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have unresectable HCC who receive TACE plus RFA, the evidence includes multiple systematic reviews and RCTs. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Multiple meta-analyses and RCTs have shown a consistent benefit in survival and recurrence-free survival favoring combination TACE plus RFA over RFA alone. However, results of these meta-analyses are difficult to interpret because the pooled data included heterogeneous patient populations and, in a few cases, data from a study retracted due to questions about data veracity. A larger well-conducted RCT has reported a relative reduction in the hazard of death by 44% and a 14% difference in 4-year survival favoring combination therapy. The major limitations of this trial were its lack of a TACE-alone arm and the generalizability of its findings to patient populations that have unmet needs such as those with multiple lesions larger than 3 cm and Child-Pugh class B or C. Further, this single-center trial was conducted in China, and until these results have been reproduced in patient populations representative of pathophysiology and clinical stage more commonly found in the United States or Europe, the results may not be generalizable. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**Bridge to Liver Transplant**

For individuals who have a single hepatocellular tumor less than 5 cm or no more than three tumors each less than 3 cm in size, absence of extrahepatic disease or vascular invasion, and Child-Pugh class A or B seeking to prevent further tumor growth and to maintain patient candidacy for liver transplant who receive pretransplant TACE, the evidence includes multiple small prospective studies. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. There is a lack of comparative trials on various locoregional treatments as a bridge therapy to liver transplantation. Multiple small prospective studies have demonstrated that TACE can prevent dropouts from the transplant list. TACE has become an accepted method to prevent tumor growth and progression while patients are on the liver transplant waiting list. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.
Unresectable Cholangiocarcinoma

For individuals who have unresectable cholangiocarcinoma who receive TACE, the evidence includes several retrospective observational studies and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. RCTs evaluating the benefit of adding TACE to the standard of care for patients with unresectable cholangiocarcinoma are lacking. Results of retrospective studies have shown a survival benefit with TACE over the standard of care. These studies lacked matched patient controls. Although the observational data are consistent, the lack of randomization limits definitive conclusions. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

TACE for Symptomatic Unresectable Neuroendocrine Tumors

For individuals who have symptomatic metastatic neuroendocrine tumors despite systemic therapy and are not candidates for surgical resection who receive TACE, the evidence includes retrospective single-cohort studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. There is a lack of evidence from RCTs supporting the use of TACE. Uncontrolled trials have suggested that TACE reduces symptoms and tumor burden and improves hormone profiles. Generally, the response rates are over 50% and include patients with massive hepatic tumor burden. While many studies have demonstrated symptom control, survival benefits are less clear. Despite the uncertain benefit on survival, the use of TACE to palliate the symptoms associated with hepatic neuroendocrine metastases can provide a clinically meaningful improvement in net health outcome. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

Liver-Dominant Metastatic Uveal Melanoma

For individuals who have liver-dominant metastatic uveal melanoma who receive TACE, the evidence includes observational studies and reviews. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. There is a lack of evidence from RCTs assessing use of TACE. Noncomparative prospective and retrospective studies have reported improvement in tumor response and survival compared with historical controls. Given the very limited treatment response from systemic therapy and the rarity of this condition, the existing evidence may support conclusions that TACE meaningfully
improves outcomes for patients with hepatic metastases from uveal melanoma. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

Other Unresectable Hepatic Metastases

For individuals who have unresectable hepatic metastases from any other types of primary tumors (eg, colorectal or breast cancer) who receive TACE, the evidence includes multiple RCTs, observational studies, and systematic reviews. The relevant outcomes are overall survival, disease-specific survival, quality of life, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. Multiple RCTs and numerous nonrandomized studies have compared TACE with alternatives in patients who have colorectal cancer with metastases to the liver. Nonrandomized studies have reported that TACE can stabilize disease in 40% to 60% of treated patients but whether this translates into a prolonged survival benefit relative to systemic chemotherapy alone is uncertain. Two small RCTs have reported that TACE with drug-eluting beads has resulted in statistically significant improvements in response rate and progression-free survival. Whether this translates into a prolonged survival benefit relative to systemic chemotherapy alone is uncertain. For cancers other than colorectal, the evidence is extremely limited, and no conclusions can be made. Studies have assessed small numbers of patients and the results have varied due to differences in patient selection criteria and treatment regimens used. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials

Some currently unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Summary of Key Trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy (SBRT) vs Trans-Arterial Chemoembolization (TACE) as Bridge to Transplant (SBRTvsTACE)</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>Dec 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT No.</td>
<td>Trial Name</td>
<td>Planned Enrollment</td>
<td>Completion Date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT04143191</td>
<td>Sorafenib Plus TACE Versus Sorafenib Alone as Postoperative Adjuvant Treatment for Resectable Primary Advanced HCC (SOURCE)</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>Sep 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT02936388</td>
<td>Transarterial Radioembolisation in Comparison to Transarterial Chemoembolisation in Uveal Melanoma Liver Metastasis (SirTac)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>Jan 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT01906216</td>
<td>Sorafenib Chemoembolization Evaluation Controlled Trial (SELECT)</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>Sep 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Unpublished**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NCT No.</th>
<th>Trial Name</th>
<th>Planned Enrollment</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NCT01512407</td>
<td>Randomised Controlled Trial on Adjuvant Transarterial Chemoembolisation After Hepatectomy for Hepatocellular Carcinoma (A-TECH)</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>Jan 2018 (unknown)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NCT: national clinical trial.

**Clinical Input Received from Physician Specialty Societies and Academic Medical Centers**

While the various physician specialty societies and academic medical centers may collaborate with and make recommendations during this process, through the provision of appropriate reviewers, input received does not represent an endorsement or position statement by the physician specialty societies or academic medical centers, unless otherwise noted.

In response to requests, input was received from one specialty medical society (two reviewers) and three academic medical centers while this policy was under review in 2012. There was general agreement that the use of TACE was medically necessary for indications in the policy; however, reviewers were split for its use as a bridge to transplant. There was general support for the investigational policy statement for the use of TACE as neoadjuvant or adjuvant therapy in resectable HCC. Reviewers were split over the investigational policy statement to treat other liver metastases or for recurrent HCC. Four reviewers provided input on the use of TACE in unresectable cholangiocarcinoma; two reviewers considered it investigational and two others considered it investigational but also medically necessary, the latter citing data showing a survival benefit of TACE compared with supportive therapy.
Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guidelines

Hepatocellular Carcinoma

The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) (v. 3.2020) guidelines on hepatocellular carcinoma list TACE as an option for patients who are not candidates for surgically curative treatments or as a part of a strategy to bridge patients for other curative therapies (category 2A). The guidelines also recommend that patients with tumors sized between 3 and 5 cm can be considered for arterially-directed therapy or combination therapy with ablation and arterial embolization and those with unresectable or inoperable tumors greater than 5 cm can be treated using arterial embolic approaches, systemic therapies, or external beam radiation therapy. Additionally, TACE in highly selected patients has been shown to be safe in the presence of limited tumor invasion of the portal vein. The American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases 2018 guidelines on hepatocellular carcinoma suggest using liver-directed therapies (which may include TACE) for bridging to liver transplant in patients with T2 lesions, in order to prevent disease progression and prevent dropouts from the waiting list. The guidelines recommend the use of locoregional therapies, including TACE, in patients with cirrhosis and T2 or T3 disease that is not amenable to resection or transplantation.

Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma

The NCCN (v. 3.2020) guidelines on intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma consider arterially directed therapies, including TACE, to be treatment options for unresectable and metastatic intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma.

Neuroendocrine Tumors, Carcinoid, and Islet Cell Tumors

The NCCN (v.1.2019) guidelines on neuroendocrine tumors, carcinoid, and islet cell tumors (v.1.2019) consider chemoembolization as an effective approach for patients with hepatic-predominant metastatic disease (category 2A).
Uveal Cancer

The NCCN (v.1.2020) guidelines on uveal melanoma state that in patients with disease that is confined to the liver, regional liver-directed therapies such as chemoembolization, radioembolization, or immunoembolization should be considered.\textsuperscript{111} The use of TACE is not specifically addressed.

Colon Cancer

The NCCN (v. 3.2020) guidelines on colon cancer recommend TACE only for clinical trials.\textsuperscript{112} The American Society of Clinical Oncology (2020) resource-stratified guidelines on late-stage colorectal cancer state that patients with unresectable liver metastases may receive TACE (weak recommendation).\textsuperscript{113} However, this recommendation should only be implemented in centers with expertise in the technique, after multidisciplinary review, or in the context of a clinical trial.

Breast Cancer

The NCCN (v. 4.2020) guidelines on breast cancer do not address TACE as a treatment option for breast cancer metastatic to the liver.\textsuperscript{114}

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination.

Regulatory Status

Chemoembolization for hepatic tumors is a medical procedure and, as such, is not subject to regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. However, the embolizing agents and drugs are subject to Food and Drug Administration approval.

2. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization of hepatic tumors. TEC Assessments. 2000;Volume 15;Tab 22.


66. Cheng BQ, Jia CQ, Liu CT, et al. Chemoembolization combined with radiofrequency ablation for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma larger than 3 cm: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. Apr 09 2008; 299(14): 1669-77. PMID 18398079


History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/01/19</td>
<td>New policy, approved September 5, 2019. This policy replaces policy 8.01.505 (originally effective June 1999) which is now deleted. Policy created with literature review through May 2019. Transcatheter hepatic arterial chemoembolization may be considered medically necessary when criteria are met; considered investigational when criteria are not met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/01/20</td>
<td>Delete policy, approved March 10, 2020. This policy will be deleted effective July 2, 2020, and replaced with InterQual criteria for dates of service on or after July 2, 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/10/20</td>
<td>Interim Review, approved June 9, 2020, effective June 10, 2020. This policy is reinstated immediately and will no longer be deleted or replaced with InterQual criteria on July 2, 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/01/20</td>
<td>Annual Review approved September 1, 2020. Policy updated with literature review through June 2020; references added. Added “in combination” with radiofrequency ablation for resectable or unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma to the investigational policy statement for clarity; otherwise policy statements unchanged.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Disclaimer: This medical policy is a guide in evaluating the medical necessity of a particular service or treatment. The Company adopts policies after careful review of published peer-reviewed scientific literature, national guidelines and local standards of practice. Since medical technology is constantly changing, the Company reserves the right to review and update policies as appropriate. Member contracts differ in their benefits. Always consult the member benefit booklet or contact a member service representative to determine coverage for a specific medical service or supply. CPT codes, descriptions and materials are copyrighted by the American Medical Association (AMA). ©2020 Premera All Rights Reserved.

Scope: Medical policies are systematically developed guidelines that serve as a resource for Company staff when determining coverage for specific medical procedures, drugs or devices. Coverage for medical services is subject to
the limits and conditions of the member benefit plan. Members and their providers should consult the member benefit booklet or contact a customer service representative to determine whether there are any benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. This medical policy does not apply to Medicare Advantage.
Discrimination is Against the Law

LifeWise Health Plan of Washington complies with applicable Federal civil rights laws and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex. LifeWise does not exclude people or treat them differently because of race, color, national origin, age, disability or sex.

LifeWise:

- Provides free aids and services to people with disabilities to communicate effectively with us, such as:
  - Qualified sign language interpreters
  - Written information in other formats (large print, audio, accessible electronic formats, other formats)
- Provides free language services to people whose primary language is not English, such as:
  - Qualified interpreters
  - Information written in other languages

If you need these services, contact the Civil Rights Coordinator.

If you believe that LifeWise has failed to provide these services or discriminated in another way on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, or sex, you can file a grievance with:

Civil Rights Coordinator - Complaints and Appeals
PO Box 91102, Seattle, WA 98111
Toll free 855-332-6396, Fax 425-918-5592, TTY 800-842-5357
Email AppealsDepartmentInquiries@LifeWiseHealth.com

You can file a grievance in person or by mail, fax, or email. If you need help filing a grievance, the Civil Rights Coordinator is available to help you.

You can also file a civil rights complaint with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office for Civil Rights, electronically through the Office for Civil Rights Complaint Portal, available at https://ocrportal.hhs.gov/ocr/office/file/index.html.

Complaint forms are available at 200 Independence Avenue SW, Room 509F, HHH Building
Washington, D.C. 20201, 1-800-368-1019, 800-537-7697 (TDD)
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Getting Help in Other Languages

This Notice has Important Information. This notice may have important information about your application or coverage through LifeWise Health Plan of Washington. There may be key dates in this notice. You may need to take action by certain deadlines to keep your health coverage or help with costs. You have the right to get this information and help in your language at no cost. Call 800-592-6804 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Arabic:

إن هذا الإشعار معلومات هامة. قد يحتوي هذا الإشعار معلومات مهمة بخصوص تلك الأشخاص الذين ينتهكون هذه القواعد عالياً من خلال تمديد هذه القواعد. لا تحاول إلغاء أية خدمات هذه القواعد يجب أن تكون هناك ترخيص مهمة في هذا الإشعار. تمنحلك هذه القواعد على متابعة الصحية أو المساعدة في تغطية الكشف. يجب أن تكون هذه المعلومات على الطلب 800-592-6804 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Italiano (Italian):


Kreyòl ayisyen (Creole):

Japanese:
この通知には重要な情報が含まれています。この通知には、LifeWise Health Plan of Washingtonの申請または補償範囲に関する重要な情報が含まれている場合があります。この通知に記載されている可能性がある重要な内容をご確認ください。健康保険や有料サポートを維持するには、特定の期間までに行動を取る必要がある場合があります。ご希望の言語による情報とサポートは無料で提供されます。800-592-6804（TTY: 800-842-5357）でお電話ください。

한국어 (Korean):
본 통지서에 중요한 정보가 들어 있습니다. 즉 이 통지서는 귀하의 신청에 관련하여 LifeWise Health Plan of Washington을 통한 커버리를 위한 정보를 포함하고 있을 수 있습니다. 본 통지서는 핵심이 되는 날짜들에 포함될 수 있습니다. 귀하의 신청과 커버리를 계약하는 데 비용을 절약하기 위해서 일정한 마감일까지 조치를 취해야 할 필요가 있을 수 있습니다. 귀하의 이러한 정보와 날짜를 귀하의 언어로 비정상 언어로열림을 없을 수 있는 권리가 있습니다. 800-592-6804 (TTY: 800-842-5357) 로 전화해 주십시오.

Lao (Lao):
ໜ່າງການນ້ີແຈ້ງການນ້ີ

Polish (Polish):

Português (Portuguese):

Română (Romanian):

Русский (Russian):
Настоящее уведомление содержит важную информацию. Это уведомление может содержать важную информацию о вашем заявлении или страховом покрытии через LifeWise Health Plan of Washington. В настоящем уведомлении могут быть указаны ключевые даты. Вам, возможно, потребуется принять меры к определенным предельным срокам для сохранения страхового покрытия или помощи с расходами. Вы имеете право на бесплатное получение этой информации и помощь на вашем языке. Звоните по телефону 800-592-6804 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Español (Spanish):
Este aviso contiene información importante. Es posible que este aviso contenga información importante acerca de su solicitud o cobertura a través de LifeWise Health Plan of Washington. Es posible que haya fechas clave en este aviso. Es posible que deba tomar alguna medida antes de determinadas fechas para mantener su cobertura médica o ayuda con los costos. Usted tiene derecho a recibir esta información en su idioma sin costo alguno. Llame al 800-592-6804 (TTY: 800-842-5357).

Tagalog (Tagalog):

ไทย (Thai):
ประกาศนี้อาจมีข้อมูลที่สําคัญเกี่ยวกับการการสมัครหรือขอบเขตประกันของคุณได้ โปรดตรวจสอบการสมัครหรือขอบเขตประกันของคุณตามข้อมูลที่นี่ คุณอาจต้องดำเนินการภายในกําหนดระยะเวลาที่แน่นอนเพื่อจะรักษาการประกันสุขภาพของคุณ ทําการสมัครหรือขอบเขตประกันของคุณตามข้อมูลที่นี่ คุณมีสิทธิทีจะได้รับข้อมูลและความช่วยเหลือน้ีในภาษาของคุณได้ โปรดติดต่อที 080-692-5804 (TTY: 800-852-5377)

فارسی (Farsi):
این اطلاعات مهم هستند. این اطلاعات ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. به‌طور عادی اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاعات مهم دردسره اش. اطلاعات مهم ممکن است جزء اطلاу...